Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	١

MONA ALLEN, et al., Plaintiffs, v. COUNTY OF LAKE, et al., Defendants.

Case No. 14-cv-03934-TEH (DMR)

NOTICE OF REFERENCE AND **ORDER RE: DISCOVERY PROCEDURES**

TO ALL PARTIES AND COUNSEL OF RECORD:

The above matter has been referred to Magistrate Judge Donna M. Ryu for resolution of all discovery matters. [Docket No. 122.] In his Order of Reference, the Hon. Thelton E. Henderson ordered the parties to meet and confer and to file a joint letter of not more than 8 pages explaining their discovery dispute. Any such letter shall be filed by no later than August 13, 2015. Defendants' motions to compel (Docket Nos. 120, 121) are denied without prejudice. The parties shall not include the issue of sanctions in their joint letter. Defendants may seek leave to file a motion for sanctions after the court rules on the substantive discovery disputes.

Going forward, the parties shall comply with the procedures in this order, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Northern District of California's Local Rules, General Orders, and Standing Orders. Local rules, general orders, standing orders, and instructions for using the Court's Electronic Case Filing system are available at http://www.cand.uscourts.gov. Failure to comply may result in sanctions.

RESOLUTION OF DISCOVERY DISPUTES

In order to respond to discovery disputes in a flexible, cost-effective and efficient manner, the court uses the following procedure. The parties shall not file formal discovery motions. Instead, as required by the federal and local rules, the parties shall first meet and confer to try to

resolve their disagreements. The meet and confer session must be in person or by telephone, and
may not be conducted by letter, e-mail, or fax. If disagreements remain, the parties shall file a
joint letter no later than five business days after the meet and confer session, unless otherwise
directed by the court. Lead trial counsel for both parties must sign the letter, which shall
include an attestation that the parties met and conferred in person or by telephone regarding all
issues prior to filing the letter. The letter must also include a paragraph listing relevant case
management deadlines, including (1) the fact and expert discovery cut-off dates; (2) the last day
to hear or file dispositive motions; (3) claim construction or class certification briefing deadlines
and hearing dates; and (4) pretrial conference and trial dates. Going issue-by-issue, the joint letter
shall describe each unresolved issue, summarize each party's position with appropriate legal
authority, and provide each party's final proposed compromise before moving to the next issue.
The joint letter shall not exceed eight pages (12-point font or greater; margins no less than one
inch) without leave of court. Parties are expected to plan for and cooperate in preparing the
joint letter so that each side has adequate time to address the arguments. In the rare instance
that a joint letter is not possible, each side may submit a letter not to exceed three pages, which
shall include an explanation of why a joint letter was not possible. The parties shall submit one
exhibit that sets forth each disputed discovery request in full, followed immediately by the
objections and/or responses thereto. No other information shall be included in the exhibit. No
other exhibits shall be submitted without prior court approval. The court will review the
submission(s) and determine whether formal briefing or proceedings are necessary. Discovery
letter briefs must be e-filed under the Civil Events category of Motions and Related Filings >
Motions - General > "Discovery Letter Brief".

The court has found that it is often efficient and beneficial for counsel to appear in person at discovery hearings. This provides the opportunity to engage counsel, where appropriate, in resolving aspects of the discovery dispute while remaining available to rule on disputes that counsel are not able to resolve themselves. For this reason, the court expects counsel to appear in person. Permission to attend by telephone may be granted upon written request made at least one week in advance of the hearing if the court determines that good cause exists to excuse

personal attendance, and that personal attendance is not needed in order to have an effective discovery hearing. The facts establishing good cause must be set forth in the request. In emergencies during discovery events (such as depositions), any party may, after exhausting good faith attempts to resolve disputed issues, seek judicial intervention pursuant to Civil L.R. 37-1(b) by contacting the court through the courtroom deputy. If the court is unavailable, the discovery event shall proceed with objections noted for the record.

CHAMBERS COPIES AND PROPOSED ORDERS

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 5-1(e)(7) and 5-2(b), parties must lodge an extra paper copy of certain filings and mark it as a copy for "Chambers." All chambers copies should be three-hole punched, and must include tabs between exhibits.

Any stipulation or proposed order submitted by an e-filing party shall be submitted by email to dmrpo@cand.uscourts.gov as a word processing attachment on the same day the document is e-filed. This address should only be used for this stated purpose unless otherwise directed by the court.

PRIVILEGE LOGS

If a party withholds responsive information by claiming that it is privileged or otherwise protected from discovery, that party shall **promptly** provide a privilege log that is sufficiently detailed for the opposing party to assess whether the assertion of privilege is justified. Unless the parties agree to alternative logging methods, the log should include: (a) the title and description of the document, including number of pages or Bates-number range; (b) the subject matter addressed in the document; (c) the identity and position of its author(s); (d) the identity and position of all addressees and recipients; (e) the date the document was prepared and, if different, the date(s) on which it was sent to or shared with persons other than its author(s); and (f) the specific basis for the claim that the document is privileged or protected. Communications involving trial counsel that post-date the filing of the complaint need not be placed on a privilege log. Failure to promptly furnish a privilege log may be deemed a waiver of the privilege or protection.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

United States District Court Northern District of California Dated: July 29, 2015

Donnal M. Ryu
United State Makistre Makistre

DISTRIC